Amidon 6.8

Concept White Paper

A next-generation live-fire shoot house material system for 6.8 mm-class training environments

---

Prepared for: 360 Ballistics, LLC dba. Amidon

Status: Concept document. Product positioning, system architecture, and proposed validation roadmap. This document does not represent a formal ballistic rating, certified test result, or engineering guarantee.

Core idea	Why it matters
Combine the live-fire durability lessons of Amidon Ballistic Concrete with the survivability, confinement, and material science lessons developed through Amidon Shield.	Produce a new family of shoot house blocks and wall assemblies intended to absorb repeated abuse from higher-energy 6.8 mm-class rounds while extending service life, reducing maintenance cycles, and preserving safer training operations.

1. Executive Summary

Amidon 6.8 is a proposed material and block platform for live-fire shoot houses built around a straightforward premise: the next generation of training facilities will need more than legacy shoot-house concrete.

As military and law-enforcement users evaluate higher-energy small-arms threats and newer service cartridges, legacy live-fire structures face a familiar problem. Durability falls first, then maintenance burden rises, then the training envelope narrows. Amidon 6.8 is intended to solve that cycle by engineering a purpose-built shoot house material around repeated impact tolerance, controlled damage progression, structural integrity, and maintainable field deployment.

The concept is not to replace every conventional concrete application. It is to create a specialized, premium material for the areas that matter most in live-fire environments: sacrificial surfaces, high-round-count walls, modular block courses, range-critical corners, entry points, and instructor-controlled training lanes.

2. The Operational Problem

Traditional shoot house materials were generally developed around older ballistic threats, lower sustained round energy, or shorter facility life assumptions. As cartridges, optics, and training tempos evolve, those assumptions become less reliable.

The practical failure modes are well understood: spall and crater growth, cumulative cracking, localized breakout, geometric degradation at corners and openings, ricochet risk from damaged surfaces, more frequent patching, and facility downtime that interrupts training throughput.

A 6.8 mm-class live-fire environment raises the design bar. Even where final protection levels must be confirmed through formal testing, the material system should be conceived from the start to manage higher impact energy, faster damage accumulation, and repeated strike patterns in confined training architecture.

3. Amidon 6.8 Design Intent

Amidon 6.8 is envisioned as a hardened shoot-house material system rather than a single concrete mix. The platform would combine a proprietary cementitious matrix, ballistic-performance additives, controlled aggregate strategy, and block geometry tailored to live-fire service.

The design intent is fourfold: first, resist penetration and severe backside failure from the target threat set; second, minimize progressive surface damage that creates unsafe training conditions; third, preserve structural stability over repeated exposure; and fourth, fit practical construction and retrofit workflows for modular ranges and permanent facilities.

This concept deliberately draws from two internal knowledge streams. From Amidon Ballistic Concrete, it takes the realities of live-fire use, maintenance, and modular range deployment. From Amidon Shield, it takes the more advanced approach to material behavior, energy dissipation, confinement, and high-consequence protective construction.

4. Proposed Product Architecture

The most natural first product is a shoot-house block family designed specifically for live-fire walls. These units would be manufactured for stacked, reinforced, and filled assemblies that create a high-mass training wall with better impact resilience than standard CMU-based solutions.

The block family could be supported by companion products such as: precast panels for premium lanes and instructor stations, repair mortar for rapid surface restoration, fill material optimized for the block cavities, and a specification package that standardizes reinforcement, fill procedures, and maintenance inspection criteria.

This creates a scalable product line instead of a single niche mix. A customer could deploy Amidon 6.8 selectively in the highest-abuse zones, or standardize on the system for a full-facility build where long-term durability matters more than lowest first cost.

5. Performance Objectives

Because the concept has not yet been publicly validated through a disclosed test program, performance should be expressed as engineering objectives rather than overstated promises. The near-term objective is not marketing theater. It is a credible, testable path to a safer and longer-lived live-fire enclosure.

The platform should be engineered to target the following performance outcomes: improved resistance to 6.8 mm-class round damage; reduced crater growth and surface breakout under repeated fire; improved wall service life compared with conventional shoot-house concrete; lower maintenance frequency; modular replaceability where appropriate; and compatibility with practical military and law-enforcement construction methods.

6. Why a Block System Makes Strategic Sense

A block-based shoot house provides operational flexibility. Damaged areas can be isolated more easily. Construction can be phased. Facilities can be expanded or reconfigured. Transportation and installation are simpler than fully monolithic premium structures in many contexts.

For Amidon, a dedicated block system also creates a cleaner commercialization path. The product can be licensed, quality-controlled, and distributed through trained manufacturing partners more easily than a purely field-batched specialty mix with inconsistent execution.

That matters because the real value proposition is not just stronger walls. It is repeatable performance across many sites, with a known installation method, known maintenance playbook, and a path to disciplined producer certification.

7. Target Use Cases

Primary use cases include military shoot houses, law-enforcement live-fire houses, range shoot-back risk zones, specialty breaching and entry training structures, and modular training villages where repeated use drives lifecycle cost.

Secondary opportunities include ballistic partitions, tower elements, instructor-protected positions, ammunition handling structures, and any confined tactical-training envelope where damage control and ricochet management are operational concerns.

The strongest early market case is not commodity construction. It is high-value, high-use facilities where downtime is expensive and reliability matters more than a narrowly optimized upfront material cost.

8. Validation Roadmap

A credible rollout should follow a disciplined validation sequence. Laboratory screening should establish compressive strength, flexural behavior, density, absorption, shrinkage, and bond behavior. Instrumented ballistic testing should then evaluate penetration resistance, backside effects, crater growth, and repeated-hit performance against the target threat matrix.

Following lab work, the next step should be controlled range trials on representative wall assemblies, corners, openings, and repair conditions. Only after those data exist should formal product claims, level language, or strong comparative statements be broadened in the market.

This is the right path commercially as well as technically. Customers in this category do not reward puffery for long. They reward data, predictable field performance, and honesty about what has and has not yet been validated.

9. Commercial Positioning

Amidon 6.8 should be positioned as a premium live-fire infrastructure solution. The message is not that legacy systems are useless. The message is that emerging training demands justify a material platform designed for more punishing service conditions.

The commercial narrative should focus on lifecycle value: fewer wall failures, fewer unsafe surfaces, less downtime, fewer ad hoc repairs, better maintainability, and a more future-ready training asset.

That positioning also gives Amidon room to expand. Once the base platform is validated, the same architecture can support adjacent offerings for different threat levels, pre-engineered shoot house kits, retrofits, and range-hardening packages.

10. Conclusion

Amidon 6.8 is a logical next step in the evolution of live-fire shoot house materials. It takes what has worked in ballistic training infrastructure and combines it with higher-order protective-construction lessons to address a new class of round and a tougher operating environment.

The opportunity is real, but the winning approach is disciplined. Build the product around actual field needs. Validate it rigorously. Commercialize it as a system. Then let durability, safety, and lifecycle performance carry the story.

Done correctly, Amidon 6.8 can become more than a new mix. It can become the standard for next-generation live-fire shoot house construction.

Proposed Initial Development Priorities

- Define the target threat matrix, distinguishing between current 5.56 / 7.62 exposure and the intended 6.8 mm-class performance envelope.
- Finalize the first manufacturable shoot-house block geometry, including reinforcement and fill strategy.
- Develop a test plan that measures repeated-hit survivability, not just single-shot response.
- Create field inspection criteria and repair protocols before first deployment.
- Prepare an ACPN-style producer quality package so manufacturing scale does not outrun quality control.

Note: References to 6.8 mm-class threats in this concept paper should be interpreted as intended design targets for the next generation of live-fire training environments. Final product claims should be tied only to completed testing and approved marketing language.